EXHIBIT C

	Page 1			
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA			
3				
4	Brock Fredin,			
5	Plaintiff,			
6	v. No. 17-03058 (SRN/HB)			
7	Lindsey Middlecamp,			
8	Defendant.			
9				
10	Brock Fredin,			
11	Plaintiff,			
12	v. No. 18-cv-00466(SRN/HB)			
13	Grace Elizabeth Miller and			
14	Catherine Marie Schaefer,			
15 16	Defendants.			
17				
18	DEPOSITION OF BROCK FREDIN			
19	Taken December 5, 2019			
20	Scheduled for 9:30 a.m.			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	REPORTED BY: JONATHAN WONNELL, RMR			

Page 137 the revenge porn campaign that Lindsey Middlecamp 1 produces on CardsAqstHrsmt is eerily almost if not 2. identical to this sort of conduct. 3 For example, this is a shirtless black 4 5 man. All right. So let's back up and try this 6 0 7 How did you capture Ms. Schaefer's IP 8 address? 9 Α I received data from an analytics service. 10 0 Which service? 11 Google Analytics. Showing that HTTP Δ 12 requests made to retrieve data were made, in 13 reference to this whole smear campaign, were made from State College, Pennsylvania, and it indicated 14 15 her IP address at the same time that all of this was 16 going down. 17 Well, you made the -- so Google Analytics ran or captured the IP address related to what 18 19 communication or website? 20 I believe that it was a website dorseyhg 21 or lindseymiddlecamp.com. 2.2 0 And those are websites that you created, 23 correct? 24 That's false. Α 25 Well, how did you get the Google Analytics Q

Page 138 if they weren't websites that you had control over? 1 I received the information by way of a 2. friend, but I did not have direct knowledge of any 3 intentions or actions related to any websites going 4 5 up. Who's the friend? 6 Q 7 I'm not going to answer that question. Α Why not? 8 0 9 Α Because it's not relevant. 10 Well, you just described for me how you 0 11 obtained the IP address of my client through a 12 friend. I'd like to know the friend's name. 13 Α Right. And I'm not going to answer that 14 question. 15 0 Well, Mr. Fredin, you're making a claim 16 that Ms. Schaefer created these false profiles 17 because you believe that her IP address is associated with them. You then tell me that the IP address was 18 19 obtained through two websites, lindseymiddlecamp.com 20 and dorseyhq, for which you say you don't control but 21 that are controlled by a, quote, unquote, friend. 2.2 So I'll ask you again, who's the friend? 23 I did a search of these websites. They Α 24 don't exist. Dorseyhg doesn't exist. 2.5 Lindseymiddlecamp doesn't exist.

Page 139 It did, because you just told me it did. 1 Q But it doesn't exist. And the information 2. Α 3 I received was simply for legal purposes to track down through private investigators Catherine 4 5 Schaefer's involvement. Who developed the site dorseyhq and 6 7 lindseymiddlecamp.com? Α I will object on relevance. 8 9 They were created by Anthony Zappin, 0 correct? 10 Again, I'm objecting on relevance. 11 Α 12 You and Mr. Zappin collaborated on the 13 creation of those websites and other websites, true? 14 I'm objecting on relevance. Α 15 0 You're refusing to answer the question as 16 to who created the website dorseyhq and 17 lindseymiddlecamp.com; is that correct? I'm objecting on relevance. I'm not 18 Α 19 refusing to answer. 20 Well, Mr. Fredin, there's a website with 21 my client's name attached to it along with some 2.2 harmful information contained within it. How it's 23 not relevant, I don't understand. But if you're 24 going to maintain the objection and not answer we can 25 certainly certify that question to the Court. So I'm

Page 140

giving you another opportunity if you'd like to disclose the name of your friend which you're legally required to do, I hope you do it now. Who's the friend?

A You mentioned content harmful to your client, yet your client has refused and destroyed my life on CardsAgstHrsmt, the City Pages, everywhere, and yet you claim a website that doesn't exist is somehow providing harmful content to your client. The website does not exist. So --

O Mr. Fredin --

A And it's not relevant to this action whatsoever. So, again, if you find, you know, reason to, you know, try and offer intimidation tactics to claim somehow that your client is being harmed when your client is in fact destroying me, the only thing that I can remember is Lindsey Middlecamp's destruction of my life. And so I am objecting on relevance to any other content where you're trying to misdirect the intent of this lawsuit.

I am the plaintiff in this lawsuit against your clients. Your clients have harmed me. So there's no basis or relevance whatsoever for your question.

Q Mr. Fredin, you've testified -- you

2.

Page 141 testified. I didn't offer you this information. You 1 testified as to both of these websites that existed. 3 Now whether they exist today or not is not my question. The question is who did you collaborate to 4 5 create the websites? I testified that analytical information 6 7 was produced in the complaint or the amended 8 complaint and/or the opposition on motion to dismiss. And that information pertained to IP addresses. That's all I'm testifying to. 10 11 Right. And I want you to tell me the 12 source of that information which you identified as 13 your friend. Your friend's name is who? 14 Α I conducted a private investigation 15 through attorneys to determine, you know, IP addresses associated and data therein. 16 17 Which attorneys? 0 I'm going to object on attorney-client 18 19 privilege. 20 The name of the attorneys is not covered 21 by the privilege. The identification of the 2.2 attorneys, please. 23 The only thing that I can tell you with Α 2.4 reference to the identification of the attorney is 25 the fact that I retained at least two lawyers during

Page 142 this entire process and those lawyers' names are 1 included in all of the discovery that I've produced, including the City Pages article. And so those names 3 have been provided and I'm not in any way violating 4 5 attorney-client privilege. I'm not asking you to violate the 6 7 privilege. I'm asking just for the names of the two attorneys that you claim --8 9 I produced those names. Those names are 10 very easy to determine --11 Do you not recall their names? 12 I do recall their names. Α 13 0 What are they? 14 The only reason that I am objecting on Α attorney-client privilege is because I will have to 15 16 review whether or not the names or identities of 17 those lawyers are provided -- or covered, rather, by 18 attorney-client privilege. If they're not, then I'll 19 answer your question as soon as I possibly can. 20 However, it's pretty obvious to find from notices of 21 appearances and so forth. 2.2 Right. So why wouldn't you just tell me their names? 23 2.4 Because I'd have to review whether or not Α 25 the names or identities are included to determine

	Page 143		
1	whether or not I am in full compliance.		
2	Q Well, you just told me they're on filings.		
3	A They are. It's public.		
4	Q So they've already been disclosed, it's		
5	public. So I'm just asking you for that public		
6	information. What are their names?		
7	A I don't know if names are protected by		
8	attorney-client privilege.		
9	Q Okay. Let's go back to the friend,		
10	because your friend is not a lawyer. What's your		
11	friend's name that set up these websites?		
12	A Again, I'm objecting on relevance. I'm		
13	not going to answer that question.		
14	Q Okay.		
15	(Fredin Exhibit 13 was marked for		
16	identification.)		
17	BY MR. BREYER:		
18	Q Mr. Fredin, I've handed you what's been		
19	marked as Exhibit 13. And this is a website that was		
20	created around Ms. Grace Miller. Have you seen this		
21	website before?		
22	A I have seen it.		
23	Q Did you create it?		
24	A I'm objecting on relevance.		
25	Q You won't answer the question whether you		

Page 144 created this website or not? 1 Α Correct. 3 You provided content to this website, 0 true? 4 5 I'm objecting on relevance. Α You had assistance in creating this 6 7 website with the friend that you won't identify. Is that also true? 8 9 Α I'm objecting on relevance. 10 Well, Mr. Fredin, I don't take your 0 11 relevancy objections very well, especially since 12 they're dealing with the direct content of the claims 13 in this lawsuit, including my client, Grace Miller. 14 So if you're going to continue that objection then we'll simply go to the Court and get some redress. 15 16 But for now I need to know whether you participated 17 in the creation of the content of this website. It appears to show a masculine woman who 18 Α 19 looks like my ex-girlfriend, Grace Miller. 20 The question was did you participate in 21 the content of this website, yes or no? 2.2 I see the photo of Grace Miller whose 23 masculinity I was attracted to. I see Karmen 24 McQuitty's ex parte communication to her mother 25 defaming and taunting me on Facebook. Yet Karmen

Page 145 McQuitty and my ex-girlfriend, United States Air 1 Force Major Grace Miller, is using, according to this 2. content, restraining orders to silence and gag me 3 while at the same time defaming and taunting me, 4 5 which is ridiculous. That's all I'm seeing from this content here. 6 7 I don't know if this content that you're producing is identical to what I've seen in court 8 filings. When I say that I've seen this website, 10 this is a website that I've seen in court filings. 11 Right. So back to my question. Did you 12 contribute to the content of this website contained 13 in Exhibit 13, yes or no? 14 I'm objecting on relevance. I have no understanding of how this is relevant to the claims 15 16 in this action. 17 You're refusing to answer? 0 I'm not refusing to answer. I am 18 Α 19 objecting on relevance. 20 Sure you are. Right. You've objected on 21 relevance and now you can answer the question. Did 2.2 you participate in the content of this website? 23 Α I'm not going to answer this question. 24 0 There are numbered pages in this document

2.5

and if you turn to pages 6, 7, 8, 9, so start with

Page 146 those, these contain pictures and information that 1 2. you provided so that they could be posted on this website, true? 3 False. 4 Α 5 Whose content is this? This appears to show my mother who is 6 Α 7 being taunted by Lindsey Middlecamp on Twitter. But those are your pictures of your 8 0 9 mother, correct? 10 I don't know that. 11 In fact, this document contains a number 12 of pictures and posts that you've produced in this 13 litigation as well as in the lawsuits, correct? 14 Does this website exist is your question. I don't believe that it does. 15 16 Well, it might not exist now, but it did 17 because we've got evidence of it here and you've testified to it. 18 19 Turn to page 14, 15 and 16. Do you 20 recognize those pictures? 21 Α Yes. 2.2 What are they? 0 They are pictures of the unlawful actions 23 Α taken by Lindsey Middlecamp to cover up her leaking 24 of the Miller versus Fredin appellate decision that 2.5

	Page 147			
1	she leaked to her own Twitter platform before it was			
2	released, which is felony obstruction of justice.			
3	Q They are pictures of your home or your			
4	former home, correct?			
5	A They are pictures of Lindsey Middlecamp's			
6	felony obstruction of justice and violent kidnapping.			
7	Q You provided those pictures to the			
8	website, correct?			
9	A These pictures again show Lindsey			
10	Middlecamp's felony obstruction of justice and			
11	violence asserted against me.			
12	Q The question is that's the content, in			
13	addition to other content, that you provided to the			
14	website, correct?			
15	A I don't know that. I don't know. I can't			
16	remember. Objection on relevance.			
17	Q You're refusing to answer?			
18	A It's just all of this is not relevant			
19	to the claims.			
20	Q I don't care about the relevance. I want			
21	to know if I'm going to get an answer, yes or no.			
22	A No.			
23	(Fredin Exhibit 14 was marked for			
24	identification.)			
25				

	Page 179			
1	Q Based on relevancy?			
2	A I'm not going to answer the question.			
3	Q I'm asking you the basis of your			
4	objection. Is it on relevancy?			
5	A I'm just simply not going to answer that			
6	question.			
7	Q You have communicated with Mr. Zappin			
8	through Facebook and Twitter, correct?			
9	A Again, I'm not going to answer that			
10	question.			
11	Q You've shared with Mr. Zappin your			
12	feelings about the lawsuit and my clients, correct?			
13	A I'm not going to answer that question.			
14	Q Mr. Zappin has also communicated with you			
15	about matters related to these lawsuits, correct?			
16	A I'm not going to answer that question.			
17	Q And Mr. Zappin participated in the			
18	creation of the websites that we looked at earlier,			
19	correct?			
20	A I'm not going to answer any question			
21	related to that.			
22	Q And in return for his help, you created			
23	websites and posted about a person named Robert			
24	Wallach, correct?			
25	A That is not correct, but it's partially			

	Page 180		
1	correct.		
2	Q Tell me what part is correct.		
3	A I did produce websites related to Robert		
4	Wallach.		
5	Q And Robert Wallach was an attorney		
6	A I'm sorry. You know what? I'm going to		
7	object on relevance.		
8	Q Mr. Wallach is an attorney that was in a		
9	dispute with Mr. Zappin, correct?		
10	A I am objecting on relevance.		
11	Q And you're not answering the question		
12	based on that objection, true?		
13	A Correct.		
14	Q Well, let's cut to the chase. Are you		
15	going to answer any questions at all regarding Mr.		
16	Zappin?		
17	A No.		
18	Q And that's based on relevancy?		
19	A No. I'm not going to answer any questions		
20	on Mr. Zappin. I am the allegations surrounding		
21	Robert Wallach, I am objecting to on relevance.		
22	Q Okay. So let's talk about Mr. Zappin.		
23	You've communicated with him about these lawsuits,		
24	correct?		
25	A I am not going to answer any questions		

Page 181 related to Mr. Zappin and I'm going to make an 1 2. objection on asked and answered. And you failed to produce any documents 3 that refer or relate to communications with Mr. 4 5 Zappin in response to our discovery requests? 6 true, correct? 7 I don't believe that you made any Α discovery requests concerning anything related to 8 9 this individual, but in addition to this, I will 10 object on relevancy to the extent that you requested 11 documents in your requests for documents or your 12 interrogatories and then, additionally, I'm going to 13 not answer any question associated with the 14 individual in which you're referencing. Our document request asked for any and all 15 16 documents, including electronic documents that refer 17 or relate to Lindsey Middlecamp, Catherine Schaefer and Grace Miller. You've communicated with 18 19 Mr. Zappin regarding all three of my clients, true? 20 I'm not going to answer that question. Α 21 And you haven't produced any documents 22 related to Mr. Zappin? That's also true, isn't it? 23 Α Again, I'm not going to answer that 24 question. 25 On what basis? 0

Page 182 I'm simply not going to answer it. 1 Α 2 You're not going to answer about what documents you produced or didn't produce in this 3 case? 4 5 I've already answered all of those Α questions. 6 7 The answer is no, isn't it? Q The answer is no to what? 8 Α 9 0 You didn't produce any documents related 10 to Anthony Zappin? 11 Again, I'm not going to answer any 12 question related to that individual which you're referencing. 13 14 Do you still communicate with Mr. Zappin? 15 I am not going to answer any questions 16 related to the individual in which you're referencing. I'm also going to object on asked and 17 18 answered. 19 Do you have any arrangements or agreements 0 with Mr. Zappin? 20 21 I'm not going to answer that question and, 2.2 again, I'm going to cite the objection of asked and 23 answered. 24 Well, to be clear, it has been asked but 0 2.5 there has been no answer other than your objection.

		Page 183
1	But you're	refusing to answer any questions relating
2	to Mr. Zapp	pin, is that my understanding?
3	А	Objection, asked and answered.
4		(Fredin Exhibit 22 was marked for
5		identification.)
6	BY MR. BREY	YER:
7	Q	Mr. Fredin, Exhibit 22 is the Twitter
8	account wit	th the handle @mncourtshq. Do you see
9	that?	
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	This is an account that you created?
12	А	Objection, relevance.
13	Q	Are you going to answer the question?
14	A	No.
15	Q	You don't deny, then, that you did create
16	this docume	ent this Twitter handle?
17	А	I'm objecting on relevance.
18	Q	Much of the content in this Twitter handle
19	is in fact	your post, correct?
20	А	I'm objecting on relevance.
21	Q	Do you still maintain this account?
22	A	I'm objecting on relevance.
23	Q	You're not answering the question?
24	А	I'm objecting on relevancy.
25	Q	And, again, you're refusing to answer?

Page 184 I'm not refusing to answer. I'm just 1 2. objecting on relevance. Sure. And now I want your answer now that 3 Q you've given your objection. Are you going to give 4 5 me an answer? 6 Α No. 7 (Fredin Exhibit 23 was marked for 8 identification.) 9 BY MR. BREYER: 10 So Exhibit 23 is a copy of a website that 0 was made for Catherine Schaefer and I should have 11 12 included it when we talked about the last two 13 websites, including the one with Grace Miller and 14 Ms. McOuitty. You created this website as well; is 15 that correct? 16 Objection, relevance. Α 17 You're not going to answer the question? Q 18 No. Α 19 If you would turn to page 3 of this O 20 document you can see some of the exhibits we looked 21 at today including those referencing BlackOutx2, 2.2 correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 And that content you posted to this O 2.5 website?

Page 185 This content appears to show Catherine 1 2 Schaefer's revenge pornography targeting me. 3 appears to show a website promoting the fact that it is Catherine Schaefer indeed targeting me, violating 4 5 the law. That's what I see from this document. That's not my question. My question is 6 7 you provided this content, correct? Α Objection, relevance. 8 9 You're not going to answer the question? Q 10 Α No. 11 Is that a no? 0 12 Α No. 13 If you look at the remaining pages of 0 Exhibit 23, it contains additional information, 14 Facebook posts and photos, all of which were sourced 15 16 from you, correct? 17 Α Objection, relevance. 18 Are you going to answer? Q 19 Α No. 20 (Fredin Exhibit 24 was marked for 21 identification.) 2.2 BY MR. BREYER: You have before you Exhibit 24, which is 23 your complaint filed in Federal District Court of 24 2.5 Minnesota against Referee Clysdale amongst others,